Thoracic nerve cord-ganglia recognition in intraspecific and interspecific transplants in the white shrimp, *Penaeus (Litopenaeus) vannamei*

Jorge Alfaro^{*}, Luís Hernández, Gerardo Zúñiga, Rosa Soto, Fernando Mejía-Arana

Estación de Biología Marina, Escuela de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional, Puntarenas, Costa Rica

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 September 2008

Accepted 6 November 2008

Keywords:

Thoracic ganglia
Tissue recognition
Transplants
Penaeoid
Shrimp

ABSTRACT

The recognition response of *Penaeus (Litopenaeus) vannamei* against thoracic nerve cord–ganglia transplants from three species of Penaeidae: *P. vannamei*, *P. (Litopenaeus) stylirostris*, and *Trachypenaeus byrdi*, and one species of Palaemonidae: *Macrobrachium tenellum*, was evaluated. Implanted tissues were removed from recipients at the end of different incubation periods, catalogued based on three gross categories (missing, unmelanized, and melanized), and analyzed by histology. Some intraspecific implants of thoracic ganglia from *P. vannamei* were missing after a few weeks, without activating a haemocytic response leading to encapsulation. The same condition was observed for thoracic ganglia from *P. stylirostris* and *T. byrdi*. Unmelanized tissue sections from *P. vannamei*, *P. stylirostris*, and *T. byrdi*, were commonly recovered; however, most implants had a smaller size than their original length (5–6 mm). Intraspecific transplantation in *P. stylirostris* also generated a time-related reduction in graft size. Based on our observations, missing implants, small grafts and compacted structure of tissues indicate an absorption mechanism from the host without encapsulation.

Histological analysis of unmelanized tissues from Penaeidae reveals that the structure of nerve cords is similar to controls, showing living nerve cord cells surrounded by the neurilemma, and no haemocytic encapsulation at the periphery. Neurosecretory cells were also observed alive, but the structure of ganglia was different from control ganglia. On the other hand, tissues from *M. tenellum* were all encapsulated, showing distinctive black depositions and the distinctive layers of haemocytes. These findings suggest that neither interspecific nor intraspecific thoracic ganglia transplants within Penaeidae keep their tissue integrity inside *P. vannamei*. The endocrine implications for inducing ovarian maturation have to be investigated.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The central nervous system of penaeoid shrimps is organized in an anterior brain or supraesophageal ganglion, circumesophageal connectives, a chain of thoracic ganglia and abdominal ganglia (Bell and Lightner, 1988). The sinus gland from eyestalks, the brain, and the thoracic ganglia have been considered as the source of a vitellogenesis stimulating hormone (VSH), which has not been characterized yet (Huberman, 2000). However, thoracic ganglia implants or extracts have produced increases in gonadal growth in shrimps, crabs, lobsters and crayfish (Otsu, 1960; Oyama, 1968; Hinsch and Bennett, 1979; Takayanagi et al., 1986; Quackenbush, 1986, for review; Fingerman, 1987, for review; Kulkarni et al., 1991). It was demonstrated that thoracic ganglion extracts from sexually active females of *Uca pugilator* induced precocious ovarian maturation in intact and eyestalk ablated crabs; extracts from sexually inactive females did not stimulate ovarian growth (Eastman-Reks and Fingerman, 1984).

Eyestalk ablation is the worldwide protocol for inducing ovarian maturation of cultured penaeoid species; however, alternatives to this procedure were considered a long-term goal for the industry (Quackenbush, 1991). Recently, a new protocol based on the repetitive injection of serotonin and spiperone was reported by Alfaro et al. (2004) for *Penaeus (Litopenaeus) stylirostris* and *P. (Litopenaeus) vannamei*, and serotonin was also evaluated in *P. monodon* by Wongprasert et al. (2006). Both studies were capable of inducing maturation and spawning at rates similar to eyestalk ablation.

The practical implications of using thoracic ganglia for inducing ovarian maturation and spawning of commercially important crustaceans such as penaeoid shrimps were evaluated by Hooi (1991) in *P. monodon*, injecting an intraspecific thoracic ganglia extract from spent spawners, without success. This author stated the importance of evaluating interspecific thoracic extracts from less valuable crustacean species. Yano et al. (1988) implanted thoracic ganglia sections of the lobster, *Homarus americanus* in *P. vannamei* recipients; however, tissue recognition was not evaluated and the authors reported some degree of ovarian maturation. The current knowledge on interspecific tissue recognition among crustaceans is limited, so there is no

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +506 277 3324; fax: +506 237 6427.
E-mail address: jalfarom@una.ac.cr (J. Alfaro).

evidence to support the assumption that tissue from a lobster would be recognized as self by a shrimp (Vaca and Alfaro, 2000).

Research on tissue recognition in arthropod transplants indicates that a haemocytic response from the host will be activated depending on the phylogenetic closeness between donor and recipient species. In general, intraspecific transplants do not elicit encapsulation, but interspecific transplants provoke a strong haemocytic reaction; however, closely related species or genera may accept transplantation (Lackie, 1986, for review). In amphipod crustaceans it was established that hepatic caeca from *Gammarus pulex* implanted in *G. duebeni* provoke a strong encapsulation 24 h after surgery (Crompton, 1967). This author also found that intraspecific transplants of hepatic caeca in *G. pulex* only generate a haemocytic response at the cut surface of the tissue, where the connective tissue was damaged.

Encapsulation and nodule formation are the mechanisms triggered in arthropods as rejection against foreign tissues (Lackie, 1986). This haemocytic response consist of three concentric layers around the foreign tissue: necrotic, melanizing blood cells in the inner part, flattened cells in the middle and normally shaped cells in the outer region of the envelope. The three blood cell types (semigranular, granular, and hyaline cells) participate in the formation of the middle layer (Gotz, 1986).

The phenoloxidase activating system from granular and semigranular cells is responsible for the melanization of foreign objects in crustaceans including penaeoid shrimps (Ashida and Söderhäll, 1984; Söderhäll and Smith, 1986; Tsing et al., 1989; Sritunyaluksana and Söderhäll, 2000). Encapsulation has been observed in vas deferens and testicular intraspecific implants in *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (Nagamine et al., 1980), as well as around stainless steel pins of disk tags introduced into *Penaeus* (*Farfantepenaeus*) *aztecus* (Fontaine and Lightner, 1973). Solid black capsules have also been produced around steel pins in the sub-genus *Litopenaeus* (Alfaro, unpublished data).

The intraspecific transplantation of androgenic glands in crustaceans has been studied in amphipods, isopods and decapods. The implantation of this organ into *M. rosenbergii* females induces masculinization, indicating that implanted tissues remain intact and active, metabolically and physiologically (Nagamine et al., 1980; Malecha et al., 1992). The aim of the present research was to study the recognition response of *P. vannamei* against thoracic nerve cord–ganglia transplants from *P. vannamei*, *P. stylirostris*, *Trachypenaeus byrdi* and *M. tenellum*, as a first step for defining tissue compatibility among shrimps, including three species of Penaeidae and one species of Palaemonidae.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Recipient and donor species

Species used in this study were collected from Golfo de Nicoya, Pacific coast of Costa Rica. *P. stylirostris* (9–11 cm total length (t.l.)), *T. byrdi* (8–10 cm t.l.), and *M. tenellum* (7–8 cm t.l.) were captured from the wild, and kept in tanks at Estación de Biología Marina (EBM). *P. vannamei* were obtained from a commercial shrimp farm from the same gulf and grown to 13–15 cm t.l. at EBM in a shaded external tank (18 m²). Water exchange was kept at 48% per day, using new water pretreated by high pressure silica sand filtration and sedimentation. Animals were fed a commercial dry food and fresh frozen sardine to satiation. *Penaeus* and *Trachypenaeus* belong to the family Penaeidae, and *Macrobrachium* to Palaemonidae.

2.2. Surgical procedure

The experiment was designed with *P. vannamei* as the only receptor species and four species as the tissue donors: a) control group=nerve tissue from *P. vannamei*, b) nerve tissue from *P. stylirostris*, c) nerve tissue from *T. byrdi*, and d) nerve tissue from

M. tenellum. Donors from each species were kept alive until dissection. The entire thoracic nerve cord–ganglia chain was aseptically removed, sectioned in two–three pieces (5–6 mm in length each), and maintained in chilled (12–14 °C), sterile crustacean physiological solution (C.P.S.) with high HEPES concentration (160 mM, Talbot et al., 1989) for 5–10 min before implantation. A complementary control group was included using C.P.S. with low HEPES concentration (18 mM, Ro et al., 1990).

Each *P. vannamei* recipient received one tissue section, using an implantation device. The implanter was made with a syringe (1 ml) modified to carry replaceable sterile glass tubes (2 mm in diameter) to load tissue sections. Each recipient was implanted with a new glass tube to avoid contamination; alcohol was applied at the surface and a small hole was made with the tube through the soft epidermis at the dorsal junction between cephalothorax and abdomen, then the tissue was expelled into the cavity located at the left side from the heart. Recipients were maintained in indoor circular tanks (3 m in diameter) with pretreated water (temperature=27 °C; salinity=34 ppt) exchanged at 100% daily. Implants from every donor species were left in the recipients for 7 days, and additional periods (14, 27, 34 days) were tested in some species.

2.3. Implant analysis

Implanted tissues were removed from recipients at the end of the incubation period, catalogued based on three gross categories: a) missing, b) unmelanized, and c) melanized. Unmelanized and melanized tissues were further categorized based on their size: small (2–3 mm), and large (5–6 mm). Immediately, tissues were fixed in Davidson's solution for 24 h and transferred to ethanol 50% for storage (Bell and Lightner, 1988). Samples were dehydrated in ethanol, embedded in paraffin, sectioned (10 µm), and stained in hematoxylin plus eosin. Control non-implanted thoracic ganglia were also processed from three donors of each species by dissecting tissues and fixing as before. Cellular structure and integrity of transplants was compared to non-implanted tissues by histological analysis as applied by Nagamine et al. (1980), and tissue structure was based on the descriptions provided by Bell and Lightner (1988).

2.4. Absorption of grafts in *P. stylirostris*

A complementary experiment was performed to evaluate the absorption of intraspecific tissue sections in *P. stylirostris*. Thoracic nerve cord–ganglia sections (6 mm in length) were isolated in C.P.S. 18 mM HEPES and implanted in 9 recipients (13–14 cm in t.l.) as indicated previously. Three implants were removed at 7, 14 and 21 days for gross observations and length measurement.

3. Results

The surgical procedure developed for this research proved to be an efficient technique for delivering tissue sections into the shrimp body. Before adopting the dorsal cavity as the place of implantation, other regions were tested, such as the abdominal ventral surface and the abdominal dorsal surface. However, the dorsal cavity was chosen because the epidermis at this junction has no cuticle; therefore, tissue sections can be easily released into this region. Implants can be monitored with the naked eye, without disturbing recipient animals.

Post-surgical mortality was 0% and 22 % for recipients implanted with thoracic ganglia from *P. vannamei* using C.P.S.-18 mM and C.P.S.-160 mM, respectively. Recipients with thoracic ganglia from *P. stylirostris*, *T. byrdi*, and *M. tenellum* had mortalities of 21%, 53% and 10%, respectively (Table 1). Mortality rates from 0% to 22% are considered very low as compared to 89.5% reported by Malecha et al. (1992) for androgenic gland transplants in *M. rosenbergii*. High mortality was only observed in *P. vannamei* recipients implanted

Table 1Gross analysis of thoracic nerve cord–ganglia implants of four shrimp species, recovered from *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *vannamei* recipients

<i>L. vannamei</i> recipients (n)	Donor (species)	Isolation media	Incubation time (days)	Graft analysis				
				Miss.	Unmel.		Mel.	
					S	L	S	L
11	<i>L. vannamei</i>	C.P.S.–HEPES 18 mM	7	0	2	6	0	3
Total = 11/11 P.S.M.=0%	Intraspecific transplant (Control A)							
10	<i>L. vannamei</i>	C.P.S.–HEPES 160 mM	7	1	6	2	1	
11	<i>L. vannamei</i>		14–34	7	2	1	1	
Total = 21/27 P.S.M. = 22%	Intraspecific transplant (Control B)			8	8	3	2	
9	<i>L. stylirostris</i>	C.P.S.–HEPES 160 mM	7	4	4	1	0	
13	<i>L. stylirostris</i>		14–34	5	5	1	2	
Total = 22/28 P.S.M. = 21%	Interspecific transplant			9	9	2	2	
4	<i>T. byrdi</i>	C.P.S.–HEPES 160 Mm	7	0	1	2	1	
3	<i>T. byrdi</i>		27	3	0	0	0	
Total = 7/15 P.S.M.=53%	Interspecific transplant			3	1	2	1	
8	<i>M. tenellum</i>	C.P.S.–HEPES 160 Mm	7	1	0	0	3	4
Total = 8/9 P.S.M. = 10%	Interspecific transplant							

P.S.M.: post surgical mortality; C.P.S.: crustacean physiological solution; Miss.: missing; Unmel.: unmelanized; Mel.: melanized; S: small implant (2–3 mm); L: large implant (5–6 mm).

with *T. byrdi* tissue, and this condition seems to be the result of a pathological problem associated with the wild population of this species, since three groups captured from the wild at different times lasted few days in captivity, reaching 100% mortality in one week.

Table 1 summarizes the gross condition of implanted thoracic ganglia sections into *P. vannamei*. It was found that many implants were missing from recipients, regardless of donor species from Penaeidae. A proportion of 8/21 intraspecific implants of thoracic ganglia from *P. vannamei* (control group B) were missing after a few weeks, without activating a haemocytic response leading to encapsulation. The same pattern was observed for thoracic ganglia from *L. stylirostris* (9/22) and *T. byrdi* (3/7).

Unmelanized tissue sections were commonly recovered from *P. vannamei* implanted with *P. vannamei* control group A (8/11), *P. vannamei* control group B (11/21), *P. stylirostris* (11/22), and *T. byrdi* (3/7) grafts; however, many implants had a smaller size than their original length (5–6 mm) and the tissue structure had changed to a compacted mass. Histological analysis of unmelanized tissues reveals that the structure of nerve cords is similar to controls (Fig. 1), showing living nerve cord cells surrounded by the neurilemma, and no haemocytic encapsulation at the periphery (Fig. 2: micrographs 4–5). Neurosecretory cells were also observed alive (Fig. 2: mic 3), but the structure of ganglia was different from control ganglia, since patches of neurosecretory cells are located near the ganglion in well defined areas (Fig. 1: mic. 1–2), and such an arrangement was not observed in recovered grafts. Unmelanized thoracic nerve cord–ganglia sections, previously isolated for few minutes in C.P.S.–HEPES 160 mM or HEPES 18 mM, from the three Penaeidae species showed this pattern.

In order to clarify the size reduction of grafts, a complementary experiment was executed. Intraspecific implants recovered from *P. stylirostris* at 7, 14 and 21 days from surgery show a gradual decrease in tissue size (Fig. 3), and no melanization associated to any part of implants. Recovered sections had the normal white coloration of nerve tissues, but a compacted structure. One missing implant was registered at 14 days from surgery.

Few implants from the three Penaeidae species showed melanization. Some encapsulated grafts were also smaller than the original size. The histology of melanized sections shows a haemocytic capsule with its distinctive layers of haemocytes: black depositions surrounding the death implanted tissue, flat haemocytes at the middle, and normal haemocytes externally. The layers of flat and normal haemocytes are observed in the sample from *P. stylirostris* (Fig. 4), but it is better defined in samples from *M. tenellum*. In every case, the nerve cells were destroyed and the inner space of the capsule shows no cellular integrity.

Thoracic ganglia from *M. tenellum* induced a haemocytic response from the host in every replicate, except for one case, with missing implant (Table 1). Tissue grafts from this Palaemonid were all

Fig. 1. Control non-implanted thoracic nerve cord–ganglia of shrimp species. Micrographs: 1) *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *vannamei*, 2) *Trachypenaeus byrdi*. Gan=ganglion, Glc=glia cell, Neu=neurilemma, Nsc=neurosecretory cell, Vnc=ventral nerve cord. Bar units=μm.

Fig. 2. Unmelanized thoracic nerve cord-ganglia implants of Penaeidae recovered from *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *vannamei* recipients after 7 days of incubation. Micrographs: 3) *P. vannamei*, 4) *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *stylirostris*, 5) *Trachypenaeus byrdi*. Bar units = μm .

encapsulated, showing distinctive black depositions and the distinctive layers of haemocytes (Fig. 5: mic. 7). However, one replicate showed a different histological pattern, characterized by death tissue surrounded by haemocytes without a black inner layer (Fig. 5: mic. 8), and normal living tissue showing the same structure as

Fig. 3. Effect of incubation time over graft size for intraspecific transplants of *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *stylirostris*.

control tissue (Fig. 1), without any haemocytic response (Fig. 5: mic. 9).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first report dealing with tissue recognition in a penaeoid shrimp. The findings presented in this contribution indicate that thoracic nerve cord-ganglia tissues from *P. vannamei*, *P. stylirostris*, and *T. byrdi* are recognized as self by *P. vannamei*. No encapsulation mechanism was triggered by the host when exposed to these tissues. A similar response was also observed in intraspecific

Fig. 4. Melanized thoracic nerve cord-ganglia implant of *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *stylirostris* (micrograph 6) recovered from *P. (Litopenaeus) vannamei* recipients after 7 days of incubation. Ca=melanized capsule, E.D.T.=encapsulated death tissue, Hae=haemocyte: f=flat hae, n=normal hae. Bar units = μm .

Fig. 5. Thoracic nerve cord-ganglia implants of *Macrobrachium tenellum* (Palaemonidae) recovered from *Penaeus* (*Litopenaeus*) *vannamei* recipients after 7 days of incubation. Micrographs: 7) melanized implant, 8) encapsulated unmelanized section and (9) normal section of the same implant. Ca=melanized capsule, E.D.T.=encapsulated death tissue, Gan=ganglion, Glc=glia cell, Hae=haemocyte: f=flat hae, n=normal hae, Neu=neurilemma, Nsc=neurosecretory cell, T.S.=tissue surface. Bar units=μm.

transplantation of *P. stylirostris*. The few encapsulation cases observed within Penaeidae are considered to be a consequence of deteriorating or contaminated implants that activated a haemocytic response

instead of a rejection response to a foreign tissue as it was also suggested by Nagamine et al. (1980).

A high rate of implanted sections maintained cellular integrity but no tissue structure as control tissues. Patches of neurosecretory cells were observed in transplanted sections, but tissue arrangement was different from non-implanted samples. Implants also reduced their size during the incubation period from 5–6 mm to 2–3 mm in length. It seems that the longer the incubation time, the higher the possibility to recover a smaller graft or no recovery at all. *P. vannamei* did not activate a haemocytic response against thoracic nerve cord – ganglia from Penaeidae as indicated by histological analysis, and hosts with missing implants did not show any sign of tissue rejection by encapsulation. Similar observations have never been documented, but Nagamine et al. (1980) reported missing implants from *M. rosenbergii*. They suggested that the androgenic glands may have separated from the vas deferens, and possibly, expelled the encysted androgenic gland.

Based on our observations, missing implants, small grafts and compacted structure of tissues may indicate an absorption mechanism from the host without encapsulation. Thoracic nerve cord-ganglia tissues were not rejected because no haemocytic response occurred, but also because no evidence of expelling encapsulated tissues was observed. Moreover, the clear reduction in size observed in many grafts implanted in *P. vannamei* and *P. stylirostris*, regardless of HEPES concentration, is a direct evidence for an absorption mechanism. Therefore, it is proposed that an elimination system through absorption seems to have occurred, possibly because of a natural healing process by the host to restore continuity with self-recognized tissues.

On the other hand, thoracic nerve cord-ganglia sections from *M. tenellum* were encapsulated within one week by *P. vannamei*. Black depositions were observed in every recovered implant and the histology reveals a clear haemocytic encapsulation mechanism had taken place, clearly demonstrating an immunological response to the foreign tissue. However, in only one case, the implant seems to have been accepted by the host because the histological analysis (Fig. 5: mic. 8–9) indicates that the tissue remained alive for some time until it gradually deteriorated, inducing a slow haemocytic response, but the still living sections were not encapsulated after 7 days. This observation may also explain the only one missing implant (Table 1); our hypothesis is that if the implant is not rejected and it stays alive, the absorption mechanism will be activated as observed in Penaeidae.

Intraspecific transplantation of tissues, which have continued to develop or function normally, in crustaceans, includes sinus glands, Y organs, ovaries, androgenic glands, and hepatic caeca (Lackie, 1986). Nerve cords from the cockroach *Nauphoeta* stimulate very little response in *Periplaneta*, although other tissues from the same species are rapidly coated by haemocytes, suggesting that the composition of the connective tissue varies according to the tissue, and therefore, the haemocytic response (Lackie, 1986). Whether or not our findings for the Penaeidae thoracic nerve cord apply to other tissues will have to be investigated.

As indicated by Huberman (2000), there have been intermittent reports dealing with the presence of a VSH from the central nervous system of crustaceans; most experiments with thoracic ganglia have been based on injection of tissue extracts. However, some reports used the implantation approach, such as Otsu (1960), for the crab, *Potamon dehaani*. The author observed the accumulation of yolk granules in oocytes after repeated implantation of pieces of thoracic ganglia. Hinsch and Bennett (1979) induced yolk deposition also after a repetitive implantation protocol in the spider crab, *Libinia emarginata* at 10-day intervals. Yano et al. (1988) stated that the intraspecific implantation of thoracic ganglia, from maturing *P. vannamei* females, induces ovarian maturation.

The integrated analysis of all this information suggests that interspecific and intraspecific thoracic ganglia transplants from Penaeidae are gradually absorbed by the host without activating an

encapsulation mechanism. Since neurosecretory cells will stay alive for a few days, it is possible to induce gonad maturation, as observed by Yano et al. (1988), but the extent of the induction as well as the commercial relevance of this technology have to be investigated. On the other hand, tissue from *M. tenellum* will be immunologically rejected by *P. vannamei*; therefore, this species appears not to be a candidate for thoracic ganglia transplants in penaeoid shrimps.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the staff of Estación de Biología Marina. Special thanks to Dr. Peter Phillips from Winthrop University, USA, for his review of the manuscript. This research was supported by Ley de Pesca from the Government of Costa Rica.

References

- Alfaro, J., Zúñiga, G., Komen, J., 2004. Induction of ovarian maturation and spawning by combined treatment of serotonin and a dopamine antagonist, spiperone in *Litopenaeus stylirostris* and *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 236, 511–522.
- Ashida, M., Söderhäll, K., 1984. The prophenoloxidase activating system in crayfish. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 77B, 21–26.
- Bell, T.A., Lightner, D.V., 1988. A Handbook of Normal Penaeid Shrimp Histology. The World Aquaculture Society. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 114 pp.
- Crompton, D.W.T., 1967. Studies on the haemocytic reaction of *Gammarus* spp., and its relationship to *Polymorphus minutus* (Acanthocephala). *Parasitology* 57, 389–401.
- Eastman-Reks, S., Fingerman, M., 1984. Effects of neuroendocrine tissue and cyclic AMP on ovarian growth *in vivo* and *in vitro* in the fiddler crab, *Uca pugnator*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 79A, 679–684.
- Fontaine, C.T., Lightner, D.V., 1973. Observations on the process of wound repair in penaeid shrimp. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 22, 23–33.
- Fingerman, M., 1987. The endocrine mechanisms of crustaceans. *J. Crustac. Biol.* 7, 1–24.
- Gotz, P., 1986. Encapsulation in arthropods. In: Brehelin, M. (Ed.), *Immunity in Invertebrates*. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, pp. 153–170.
- Hinsch, G.W., Bennett, D.C., 1979. Vitellogenesis stimulated by thoracic ganglion implants into destalked immature spider crabs, *Libinia emarginata*. *Tissue Cell* 11, 345–351.
- Hooi, C.H., 1991. Effects of eyestalk ablation, thoracic ganglion extract and gonad extract from “spent spawners” on ovarian maturation in pond-reared shrimps, *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. *Aquac. Fish. Manage.* 22, 463–471.
- Huberman, A., 2000. Shrimp endocrinology. a review. *Aquaculture* 191, 191–208.
- Kulkarni, G.K., Glade, L., Fingerman, M., 1991. Oogenesis and effects of neuroendocrine tissues on *in vitro* synthesis of protein by the ovary of the red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* (Girard). *J. Crustac. Biol.* 11, 513–522.
- Lackie, A.M., 1986. Transplantation immunity in arthropods: is immunorecognition merely wound-healing. In: Brehelin, M. (Ed.), *Immunity in Invertebrates*. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, pp. 125–138.
- Malecha, S.R., Nevin, P.A., Ha, P., Barck, L.E., Lamadrid-Rose, Y., Masuno, S., Hedgecock, D., 1992. Sex-ratios and sex-determination in progeny from crosses of surgically sex-reversed freshwater prawns, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Aquaculture* 105, 201–218.
- Nagamine, C., Knight, A.W., Maggenti, A., Paxman, G., 1980. Masculinization of female *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Mann) (Decapoda, Palaemonidae) by androgenic gland implantation. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 41, 442–457.
- Otsu, T., 1960. Precocious development of the ovaries in the crab, *Potamon dehaani*, following implantation of the thoracic ganglion. *Annot. Zool. Jpn.* 33, 90–96.
- Oyama, S.N., 1968. Neuroendocrine effects on ovarian development in the crab *Thalassia crenata* Latreille studied *in vitro*. Doctoral dissertation. University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA.
- Quackenbush, L.S., 1986. Crustacean endocrinology: a review. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 43, 2271–2282.
- Quackenbush, L.S., 1991. Regulation of vitellogenesis in penaeid shrimp. In: DeLoach, P., Dougherty, W.J., Davidson, M.A. (Eds.), *Frontiers in Shrimp Research*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 125–140.
- Ro, S., Talbot, P., Leung-Trujillo, J., Lawrence, A.L., 1990. Structure and function of the vas deferens in the shrimp *Penaeus setiferus*: segments 1–3. *J. Crustac. Biol.* 10, 455–468.
- Söderhäll, K., Smith, V.J., 1986. The prophenoloxidase activating system: the biochemistry of its activation and role in arthropod cellular immunity, with special reference to crustaceans. In: Brehelin, M. (Ed.), *Immunity in Invertebrates*. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, pp. 208–223.
- Sritunyalucksana, K., Söderhäll, K., 2000. The proPO and clotting system in crustaceans. *Aquaculture* 191, 53–69.
- Talbot, P., Howard, D., Leung-Trujillo, J., Lee, T.W., Li, W.Y., Ro, H., Lawrence, A.L., 1989. Characterization of male reproductive tract degenerative syndrome in captive penaeid shrimp (*Penaeus setiferus*). *Aquaculture* 78, 365–377.
- Takayanagi, H., Yamamoto, Y., Takeda, N., 1986. An ovary stimulating factor in the shrimp, *Paratya compressa*. *J. Exp. Zool.* 240, 203–209.
- Tsing, A., Arcier, J., Brehelin, M., 1989. Hemocytes of penaeid and palaemonid shrimps: morphology, cytochemistry, and hemograms. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 53, 64–77.
- Vaca, A., Alfaro, J., 2000. Ovarian maturation and spawning in the white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*, by serotonin injection. *Aquaculture* 182, 373–385.
- Wongprasert, K., Asuvapongpatana, S., Poltana, P., Tiensuwan, M., Withyachumnarnkul, B., 2006. Serotonin stimulates ovarian maturation and spawning in the black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture* 261, 1447–1454.
- Yano, I., Tsukimura, B., Sweeney, J.N., Wyban, J.A., 1988. Induced ovarian maturation of *Penaeus vannamei* by implantation of lobster ganglion. *J. World Aquac. Soc.* 19, 204–209.